Tracy, Mary

 From:
 OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK

 Sent:
 Tuesday, April 30, 2019 1:23 PM

 To:
 Tracy, Mary

 Subject:
 FW: CrR 4.7, CrRU 4.7, CrR3.7, CrR 3.8, CrR 3.9, CrR 4.11, CrRU 3.7, CrRU 3.8, CrRU 3.9, CrRU 4.11

Your comments have been forwarded to the rules committee.

Thank you,

Receptionist Obupreme Pourt Plerk's Office 360-357-2077

From: Brett W. Hill [mailto:bhill@grantcountywa.gov] Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2019 1:22.PM To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV> Subject: CrR 4.7, CrR山 4.7, CrR3.7, CrR 3.8, CrR 3.9, CrR 4.11, CrR니 3.7, CrR니 3.8, CrR니 3.9, CrR니 4.11

Honorable Justices of the Supreme Court:

Please accept this as my endorsement of the proposed changes to rules CrR 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 4.7 and 4.11 and to the corresponding rules CrRLJ 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 4.7 and 4.11.

By now you are aware of the arguments for and against the proposed changes. I believe each of the proposed rule charges are simply common sense and a recognition of the world which we know live.

We need and want the best evidence possible in a case. The ability to record interrogation, eyewitness identification procedure, witness interviews and so on requires noting more than a push of a button. Most everyone has a recording device accessible, even if it is just a cell phone. I cannot imagine a prosecutor would not want a record of what actually happened.

People go to jail and prison based on what evidence is presented in court. Do we not want the best evidence? Do we not care enough about liberty to push a record button?

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Thanks, Brett

Brett Hill, Director

Grant County Department of Public Defense PO Box 37 Ephrata, WA 98823 509-754-6027

1

,

CONFIDENTIALITY: If you have received this email in error please reply to me and delete your copy immediately as this email may contain attorney-client privileged and/or confidential information which should not be reproduced or distributed in any way.